

WATER QUALITY M E M O R A N D U M

Utah Coal Regulatory Program

January 22, 2004

TO: Internal File

THRU: Daron Haddock, Permit Supervisor

FROM: David Darby, Senior Reclamation Specialist

RE: 2003, Second Quarter Water Monitoring, Consolidation Coal Company, Hidden Valley Mine, C/015/0007-WQ03-2, Task ID #1814

1. Was data submitted for all of the MRP required sites? YES [X] NO []

Identify sites not monitored and reason why, if known:

Monitoring of the two surface sites is required only during the second and forth quarters.

The data tables reviewed for this report is in file; O:\015007.hid\Water Quality\Datacheck012203.xlt

2. On what date does the MRP require a five-year resampling of baseline water data.

See Technical Directive 004 for baseline resampling requirements. Consider the five-year baseline resubmittal when responding to question one above. Indicate if the MRP does not have such a requirement.

Resampling due date _____

Does not state a date

3. Were all required parameters reported for each site? YES [] NO [X]

Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

Flow was not reported for sites 1 and 2 for the required second quarter monitoring.

4. Were irregularities found in the data?

YES [] NO [X]

Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

Other than identified.

5. Were DMR forms submitted for all required sites?

1st month, YES [] NO []

2nd month, YES [] NO []

Identify sites and months not monitored:

3rd month, YES [] NO []

DMR forms are not required, because there are no UPDES discharge points.

6. Were all required DMR parameters reported?

YES [] NO []

Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

N/A

7. Were irregularities found in the DMR data?

YES [] NO []

Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

N/A

8. Based on your review, what further actions, if any, do you recommend?

Contact James Byars and let him know that the flow data was not reported.